Table	1. Frac	tional	atomic	coor	dinates	and	equivalent
	isotro	pic dis	placem	ent p	aramete	rs (Å	²)

$B_{\rm eq} = (4/3)$	3) $\sum_i \sum_i \beta_{ii} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_i$.
----------------------	---

	x	у	Z	Bea
Ca	0.21979 (7)	1/4	0.1149 (2)	0.70(1)
V(1)	0.37954 (5)	3/4	0.1489(1)	0.321 (9)
V(2)	0	1/2	0	0.404 (9)
P(1)	0.18974 (8)	3/4	0.1134 (2)	0.46 (2)
P(2)	0.43270 (8)	1/4	0.1366 (2)	0.36 (2)
O(1)	0.3662 (2)	0.4378 (4)	0.1278 (4)	0.65 (3)
O(2)	0.2632 (3)	3/4	-0.0424 (6)	0.77 (6)
O(3)	0.4929 (3)	3/4	0.0081 (7)	1.23 (6)
O(4)	0.2514 (3)	3/4	0.2875 (5)	0.59 (5)
O(5)	0.4442 (2)	3/4	0.3759 (5)	0.59 (5)
O(6)	-0.0186 (3)	1/4	0.1750 (5)	0.77 (6)
O(7)	0.1283 (2)	0.5533 (4)	0.1084 (4)	0.79 (4)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ca = O(7)	2.342 (3)	$O(5^n) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6)$	2.430 (5)
$Ca-O(7^{1})$	2.342 (3)	O(5 ⁱⁱ)· · ·O(6 ^{vi})	3.276(1)
Ca-0(1)	2,405 (3)	O(5 ⁱⁱ) · · · O(7 ^{vi})	2.905 (4)
C_{a}	2.405 (3)	$O(5^{ii}) \cdots O(7)$	2 784 (4)
$C = O(4^{ii})$	2 430 (4)	V(2) = O(6)	2 071 (3)
$C = O(2^{\frac{10}{10}})$	2 519 (5)	$O(6) \cup O(6^{N})$	4 142 (7)
$C_a = O(5^{ii})$	2.519(5)		4.142 (7)
	2.911 (4)	$O(0) \cdots O(7^n)$	2.884 (5)
v(1) = O(1)	2.020 (3)	$O(6) \cdots O(7)$	2.895 (4)
$O(1) \cdots O(1^{w})$	4.011 (7)	$V(2) = O(6^{4})$	2.071 (3)
$O(1) \cdots O(2)$	2.777 (4)	$O(6^{v_1}) \cdots O(7^{v_l})$	2.895 (4)
O(1)· · ·O(3)	2.832 (4)	$O(6^{v_1}) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(7)$	2.884 (5)
O(1)· · ·O(4)	2.836 (4)	V(2)—O(7 ^{vi})	2.015 (3)
O(1)· · ·O(5)	2.922 (4)	$O(7^{v_1}) \cdots O(7)$	4.031 (5)
$V(1) - O(1^{iv})$	2.020 (3)	V(2) - O(7)	2.015 (3)
$O(1^{iv}) \cdots O(2)$	2.777 (4)	P(1) - O(2)	1 545 (4)
$O(1^{iv}) \cdots O(3)$	2,832 (4)	$O(2) \cdots O(4)$	2 420 (6)
$O(1^{iv}) + O(4)$	2.836 (4)	O(2),O(7)	2 545 (4)
$O(1^{iy})$, $O(5)$	2,000 (1)	$O(2) \dots O(7^{N})$	2.545(4)
V(1) = O(2)	2.522(4)	P(1) = O(4)	2.545 (4)
V(1) = O(2)	2.104 (4)	P(1) = O(4)	1.545 (4)
$O(2) \cdots O(3)$	3.281 (0)	$O(4) \cdots O(7)$	2.523 (4)
$O(2) \cdots O(4)$	2.420 (6)	$O(4) \cdots O(7^{n})$	2.523 (4)
$U(2) \cdots U(5)$	3.995 (7)	P(1) = O(7)	1.536(3)
v(1) = 0(3)	1.911 (5)	$O(7) \cdots O(7^{n})$	2.527 (6)
$O(3) \cdots O(4)$	3.992 (7)	$P(1) = O(7^{*})$	1.536 (3)
$O(3) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(5)$	2.779 (7)	P(2) - O(1)	1.533 (3)
V(1)—O(4)	2.083 (4)	$O(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1^{\circ})$	2.413 (5)
O(4)· · ·O(5)	2.811 (5)	$O(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3^{v_1})$	2.537 (5)
V(1)—O(5)	1.898 (4)	O(1)· · ·O(6 ^{viii})	2.491 (4)
V(2)—O(5 ^v)	2.008 (2)	$P(2) - O(1^{i})$	1.533 (3)
O(5 ^v)· · · O(5 ⁱⁱ)	4.016 (5)	O(1 ⁱ)· · · O(3 ^{vii})	2.537 (5)
O(5 ^v)· · · O(6)	3.276(1)	O(1 ⁱ)· · · O(6 ^{viii})	2.491 (4)
$O(5^v) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6^{vi})$	2.430 (5)	P(2)O(3 ^{vii})	1.495 (5)
$O(5^v) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(7^{vi})$	2.784 (4)	O(3 ^{vii})· · · O(6 ^{viii})	2.464 (7)
$O(5^v) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(7)$	2.905 (4)	$P(2) - O(6^{viii})$	1.542 (4)
$V(2) - O(5^{ii})$	2.008 (2)		
$U(1^{\circ}) = V(1) = U(1)$	100.2 (2)	$O(7^{(1)}) - V(2) - O(5^{(1)})$	87.6(1)
O(2) = V(1) = O(1)	83.1(1)	$O(7^{**}) - V(2) - O(5^{**})$	92.4 (1)
$O(2) = V(1) = O(1^{11})$	83.1 (1)	$O(7^{11}) - V(2) - O(6)$	89.8 (1)
O(3) - V(1) - O(1)	92.2 (1)	$O(7^{v_1}) - V(2) - O(6^{v_1})$	90.2 (1)
$O(3) - V(1) - O(1^{W})$	92.2 (1)	$O(7) - V(2) - O(5^{v})$	92.4 (1)
O(3)—V(1)—O(2)	107.1 (2)	$O(7) - V(2) - O(5^{u})$	87.6(1)
O(4)—V(1)—O(1)	87.5 (1)	O(7)—V(2)—O(6)	90.2(1)
$O(4) - V(1) - O(1^{iv})$	87.5 (1)	$O(7) - V(2) - O(6^{vi})$	89.8 (1)
O(4)—V(1)—O(2)	69.4 (2)	$O(7) - V(2) - O(7^{vi})$	180.0
O(4)—V(1)—O(3)	176.5 (2)	O(4) - P(1) - O(2)	103.1 (2)
O(5)-V(1)-O(1)	96.4 (1)	O(7) - P(1) - O(2)	111.5 (1)
$O(5) - V(1) - O(1^{iv})$	96.4 (1)	O(7) - P(1) - O(4)	109.9 (2)
O(5) - V(1) - O(2)	159.2 (2)	$O(7^{iv}) - P(1) - O(2)$	111.5 (1)
O(5) - V(1) - O(3)	93.7 (2)	$O(7^{iv}) - P(1) - O(4)$	109.9 (2)
O(5) - V(1) - O(4)	89.8 (2)	$O(7^{iv}) = P(1) = O(7)$	110.8 (2)
$O(5^{ii}) - V(2) - O(5^{v})$	180.0	$O(1^i) - P(2) - O(1)$	103 9 (2)
$O(6) - V(2) - O(5^{v})$	1069(1)	$O(3^{v_1}) - P(2) - O(1)$	1130(2)
$O(6) = V(2) = O(5^{ii})$	731(1)	$O(3^{vii}) = P(2) = O(1^{i})$	1130(2)
$O(6^{vi}) = V(2) = O(3^{vi})$	731(1)	$O(6^{VIII}) = P(2) = O(1)$	108 3 (2)
$O(6^{vi}) V(2) O(5^{ii})$	1060(1)	$O(6^{VIII}) = P(2) = O(1^{1})$	108.3 (2)
$O(6^{vi}) = V(2) = O(3^{vi})$	190.9(1)	O(0) = P(2) = O(1)	100.5 (2)
U(0) = V(2) = U(0)	100.0	$U(0) = r(2) = U(3^{m})$	108.3 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z$; (v) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (vi) -x, 1 - y, -z; (vii) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z; (viii) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z$.

Data were collected with $\omega - 4/3\theta$ scans of width $(1 + 0.35\tan\theta)^{\circ}$ and with and a slit aperture of $(1 + \tan\theta)$ mm. The refinements in $Pn2_1a$ (other setting of $Pna2_1$) failed. Calculations were performed using a MicroVAXII computer with the *SDP* system (B. A. Frenz & Associates, Inc., 1982).

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic displacement parameters have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: DU1094). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- B. A. Frenz & Associates, Inc. (1982). SDP Structure Determination Package. College Station, Texas, USA.
- Boudin, S., Grandin, A., Borel, M.-M., Leclaire, A. & Raveau, B. (1994). J. Solid State Chem. 111, 380–384.
- Boudin, S., Grandin, A., Leclaire, A., Borel, M.-M. & Raveau, B. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 840-842.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Hwu, S. & Willis, E. (1991). J. Solid State Chem. 93, 69-76.
- Kinomura, N., Muto, F. & Koizumi, M. (1982). J. Solid State Chem. 45, 252–258.
- Leclaire, A., Chardon, J., Grandin, A., Borel, M.-M. & Raveau, B. (1993). Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 30, 461–469.
- Lii, K. H., Chen, R. R., Kang, H. Y. & Wang, S. L. (1992). J. Solid State Chem. 99, 72–77.
- Lii, K. H., Wen, N. S., Su, C. C. & Chueh, B. R. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 439-442.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 798-800

Hydroxylammonium Sulfate

Aneta Mirčeva

National Institute of Chemistry, 61115 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Ljubo Golič

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, University of Ljubljana, 61115 Ljubljana, Slovenia

(Received 26 July 1994; accepted 18 November 1994)

Abstract

The structure of $(NH_3OH)_2SO_4$ consists of sulfate and hydroxylammonium ions, which are connected by O—H···O and N—H···O hydrogen bonds. The bond distances fall within normal limits. All O atoms of the sulfate group are involved in two hydrogen bonds.

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Comment

The X-ray structure determination of the title compound was undertaken as part of a project concerning the structural studies of double-sulfate compounds of transition elements. The hydroxylammonium group, NH₃OH⁺, can be included in the double sulfates instead of some monovalent metals. So far the crystal structures of compounds containing hydroxylammonium groups have not been widely examined. In our previous work, the crystal structure of hydroxylammonium scandium sulfate sesquihydrate was presented (Mirčeva & Golič, 1995).

Hydroxylammonium sulfate was used as the starting reagent for the preparation of the double sulfates and as a result of this we studied its structure. Our particular interest concerned the hydrogen bonding of this compound. The space group and cell parameters were already known (Vilminot, Cot, Avinens & Maurin, 1971). It was found that hydroxlammonium sulfate is isomorphous with the corresponding tetrafluoroberyllate but detailed structural data were not provided.

The structure consists of hydroxylammonium and sulfate ions which are hydrogen bonded. All O atoms are involved in hydrogen bonding; each O atom of the sulfate group forms two hydrogen bonds (Table 3). The geometry of the sulfate ion is as expected (Tanaka & Tsujikawa, 1982; Ishakova, Gasanov, Bondar, Kleinman, Novik & Trunov, 1988; Blackburn & Gerkin, 1994). This ion is not subject to symmetry constraints and forms a slightly irregular tetrahedron. The observed S-O distances and O-S-O angles range from 1.472 to 1.484 Å and from 108.6 to 110.5°, respectively.

The bond distances in the hydroxylammonium groups compare well with the values found in the structures of the corresponding lithium hydroxylammonium sulfate (Vilminot, Anderson & Brown, 1973), aluminium double sulfate (Abden, Will & Weiss, 1981), hydroxylammonium perchlorate (Dickens, 1969), hydroxylammonium chloride (Shi, Wang & Mak, 1987) and hydroxylammonium cyclohexaphosphate (Durif & Averbuch-Pouchot, 1990) as well as in the structure of



Fig. 1. An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) stereoview of the unit cell together with the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 70% probability level.

the previously mentioned Sc double sulfate. The N-O distances observed in both NH₃OH⁺ groups are the same [1.410(1) Å]. The N-H distances in the NH₃ groups vary from 0.81 to 0.89 Å and the H-N-H angles from 107 to 112°.

Fig. 1 gives a stereoscopic view of the unit cell together with the atom-labelling scheme used. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dotted lines.

Experimental

Well shaped crystals were obtained by recrystallization of (NH₃OH)₂SO₄ (commercial product) from the heated, and then slowly cooled, water solution.

Crystal data

(NH ₃ OH) ₂ SO ₄	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 164.14$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 45
$P2_1/c$	reflections
a = 7.932 (2) Å	$\theta = 10 - 13^{\circ}$
b = 7.321(2) Å	$\mu = 0.510 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 10.403(3) Å	T = 293 (1) K
$\beta = 106.93(3)^{\circ}$	Approximately prismatic
V = 577.9(5)Å ³	$0.50 \times 0.41 \times 0.33$ mm
Z = 4	Colourless
$D_{\rm x} = 1.886 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$	
$D_m = 1.90(1) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
D_m measured by flotation	

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$
ω -2 θ scans	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction:	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
none	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
4180 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
1688 independent reflections	monitored every 165
1520 observed reflections	reflections
$[I > 2.5\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: 1.09%

Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.026	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.52 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.026	Extinction correction: Larson
S = 0.363	(1967)
1578 reflections	Extinction coefficient:
115 parameters	g = 2.9(3)
All H-atom parameters	Atomic scattering factors
refined	from Cromer & Mann
Weighting scheme: empirical	(1968)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.181$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

 $U_{\rm iso}$ for H atoms; $U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* a_i a_j$ for others.

	x	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}/U_{\rm eq}$
S	0.27308 (2)	0.19217 (3)	0.08728 (2)	0.01704 (6)
O(1)	0.2982(1)	0.1238(1)	-0.03936 (7)	0.0268 (2)
O(2)	0.4145 (1)	0.3233 (1)	0.15036 (8)	0.0287 (2)

O(3)	0.2766 (1)	0.0401 (1)	0.18056 (8)	0.0287 (2)
O(4)	0.0992(1)	0.2841 (1)	0.05753 (7)	0.0279 (2)
O(5)	0.9127	0.1888 (1)	0.30823 (8)	0.0269 (2)
N(1)	0.9616(1)	0.0244 (1)	0.25810 (8)	0.0228 (2)
O(6)	0.6916 (1)	0.3230 (2)	0.04715 (8)	0.0371 (3)
N(2)	0.5909(1)	0.2880(1)	-0.08644 (8)	0.0240 (2)
H(1)	0.966 (3)	-0.063 (3)	0.312 (2)	0.030 (4)
H(2)	1.065 (3)	0.037 (3)	0.245 (2)	0.035 (4)
H(3)	0.878 (3)	0.003 (3)	0.182 (2)	0.037 (4)
H(4)	0.977 (3)	0.192 (3)	0.388 (2)	0.035 (4)
H(5)	0.641 (3)	0.212 (3)	-0.118 (2)	0.031 (4)
H(6)	0.495 (3)	0.247 (3)	-0.089 (2)	0.034 (4)
H(7)	0.580 (3)	0.388 (3)	-0.129 (2)	0.035 (4)
H(8)	0.612 (4)	0.318 (4)	0.088 (3)	0.052 (6)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

SO(1)	1.4761 (9)	N(1)—O(5)	1.410(1)
SO(2)	1.4771 (8)	O(5)—H(4)	0.84 (2)
S0(3)	1.4717 (9)	N(2)—H(5)	0.81 (2)
SO(4)	1.4841 (8)	N(2)—H(6)	0.81 (2)
N(1) - H(1)	0.84 (2)	N(2)—H(7)	0.85 (2)
N(1)—H(2)	0.87 (3)	N(2)—O(6)	1.410(1)
N(1)—H(3)	0.89 (2)	O(6)—H(8)	0.86 (4)
O(1)—S—O(2)	109.54 (5)	H(1)—N(1)—H(3)	110 (2)
O(1)SO(3)	110.51 (5)	H(2)—N(1)—H(3)	112 (2)
O(1)—S—O(4)	109.06 (4)	N(1)O(5)H(4)	104 (2)
O(2)SO(3)	109.42 (5)	O(6)—N(2)—H(5)	109 (1)
O(2)SO(4)	109.71 (5)	O(6)—N(2)—H(6)	111 (1)
O(3)—S—O(4)	108.58 (5)	O(6)—N(2)—H(7)	107 (1)
O(5)—N(1)—H(1)	111 (2)	H(5)—N(2)—H(6)	107 (2)
O(5)—N(1)—H(2)	110(1)	H(5)—N(2)—H(7)	112 (2)
O(5)—N(1)—H(3)	105 (1)	H(6)—N(2)—H(7)	111 (2)
H(1)—N(1)—H(2)	109 (2)	N(2)-O(6)-H(8)	101 (2)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	HA	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N(1) - H(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(4^{i})$	1.94 (2)	2.747 (1)	159 (2)
$N(1) - H(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3^{ii})$	1.98 (3)	2.843 (1)	168 (2)
$N(1) - H(3) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1^{iii})$	1.95 (2)	2.806 (1)	161 (2)
$O(5) - H(4) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(4^{iv})$	1.76 (2)	2.592 (1)	175 (2)
$N(2) - H(5) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3^{iii})$	2.12 (2)	2.904 (1)	163 (2)
$N(2) - H(6) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)$	2.00 (2)	2.782 (1)	163 (2)
$N(2)$ - $H(7)$ ··· $O(2^{v})$	2.13 (2)	2.920(1)	156 (2)
O(6)—H(8)· · · O(2)	1.86 (4)	2.713 (2)	170 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i)
$$1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$$
; (ii) $1+x, y, z$; (iii) $1-x, -y, -z$;
(iv) $1+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$; (v) $1-x, 1-y, -z$.

 $w = W_f W_s$, where $W_f (F_o < 5.0) = (F_o/5.0)^{1.0}$, $W_f (F_o > 11.0)$ = $(11.0/F_o)^{1.5}$, $W_f (5.0 < F_o < 11.0) = 1.0$, and $W_s (\sin\theta < 0.45) = (\sin\theta/0.45)^{2.5}$, $W_s (\sin\theta > 0.95) = (0.95/\sin\theta)^{1.0}$, $W_s (0.45 < \sin\theta < 0.95) = 1.0$, was applied to keep average $\Sigma w(F)^2$ uniform over the ranges of F_o and $(\sin\theta)/\lambda$. Computer programs: XRAY76 (Stewart *et al.*, 1976).

The financial support of the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors and anisotropic displacement parameters have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: DU1099). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Abden, A. M., Will, G. & Weiss, A. (1981). Z. Kristallogr. 154, 45-57.
- Blackburn, A. C. & Gerkin, R. E. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 835-838.
- Cromer, D. T. & Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- Dickens, B. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1875-1882.
- Durif, A. & Averbuch-Pouchot, M. T. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 2026– 2028.
- Ishakova, L. D., Gasanov, J. M., Bondar, C. A., Kleinman, I. A.,
- Novik, B. K. & Trunov, B. K. (1988). Neorg. Mater. 24, 998–1003.Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Larson, A. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 664-665.
- Mirčeva, A. & Golič, L. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 175-177.
- Shi, K.-L., Wang, R.-Q. & Mak, T. C. W. (1987). J. Mol. Struct. 160, 109–116.
- Stewart, J. M., Machin, P. A., Dickinson, C. W., Ammon, H. L., Heck, H. & Flack, H. (1976). *The XRAY76 System*. Technical Report TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.

Tanaka, M. & Tsujikawa I. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2793-2797.

- Vilminot, S., Anderson, M. R. & Brown, I. D. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 2628-2630.
- Vilminot, S., Cot, L., Avinens, C. & Maurin, M. (1971). Mater. Res. Bull. 6, 189-198.